

Lecture 7

Maximum principle and open mapping theorem
First glimpse at complex logarithms and winding number

MATH 503, FALL 2025

September 25, 2025

Orthogonality relations

Theorem

If

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z - a)^n \quad \text{for } z \in D(a; R) \quad (*)$$

and if $0 < r < R$, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 r^{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(a + re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \quad (**)$$

Proof: We have

$$f(a + re^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n r^n e^{in\theta}.$$

For $r < R$, the series converges uniformly on $[-\pi, \pi]$.

Orthogonality relations

- Since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

we conclude that

$$c_n r^n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(a + re^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(a + re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_n \overline{c_m} r^{n+m} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(n-m)\theta} d\theta \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 r^{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

- This completes the proof. □

Maximum modulus principle

Definition

Let f be defined on Ω and $a \in \Omega$. Then $|f|$ has a **local maximum** at a if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $D(a, \delta) \subseteq \Omega$ and $|f(a)| \geq |f(z)|$ for every $z \in D(a, \delta)$. Further, we say that $|f|$ has no local maximum in Ω if $|f|$ does not have local maximum at every point of Ω . Similarly, we define a **local minimum**.

Theorem

Suppose that Ω is a region and $f \in H(\Omega)$.

- (a) Then $|f|$ has no local maximum at any point of Ω , unless f is constant.*
- (b) Moreover, if the closure of Ω is compact and f is continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$, then*

$$\sup_{z \in \Omega} |f(z)| \leq \sup_{z \in \partial\Omega} |f(z)|.$$

Maximum modulus principle

Proof: We now prove part (a). Suppose that $|f|$ attains a local maximum at $a \in \Omega$, then

$$|f(z)| \leq |f(a)| \quad \text{for all } z \in D(a, \delta)$$

for some $\delta > 0$.

- If $z \in D(a, \delta)$, then it can be represented as

$$z = a + re^{i\theta}$$

for some $r \in [0, \delta)$ and $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Hence

$$|f(a + re^{i\theta})| \leq |f(a)| \quad \text{for all } r \in [0, \delta) \text{ and } \theta \in [-\pi, \pi].$$

- Since

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_n(z - a)^n \quad \text{for } z \in D(a, \delta).$$

Maximum modulus principle

- By the previous theorem it follows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 r^{2n} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(a + re^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \leq |f(a)|^2 = |c_0|^2.$$

- Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 r^{2n} \leq 0$$

and consequently $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = \cdots = 0$, which implies that $f(z) = f(a)$ in $D(a; r)$.

- Since Ω is connected, then f must be constant in Ω .

We now prove part (b). There is nothing to prove if f is constant. Suppose that f is non-constant in Ω .

- Since f is continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$, which is compact, then it attains its maximum in $\overline{\Omega}$.
- Since f is non-constant this maximum must be attained in $\partial\Omega$, otherwise f would be constant by part (a).



Maximum modulus principle

Corollary (Prove it!)

Suppose that Ω is a region, $f \in H(\Omega)$, and $\overline{D}(a; r) \subseteq \Omega$.

- Then

$$|f(a)| \leq \max_{\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]} |f(a + re^{i\theta})|. \quad (*)$$

Equality occurs in $(*)$ if and only if f is constant in Ω . Consequently, $|f|$ has no local maximum at any point of Ω , unless f is constant.

- Moreover, we have

$$|f(a)| \geq \min_{\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]} |f(a + re^{i\theta})|$$

if f has no zero in $D(a; r)$.

Auxiliary lemma

Lemma

If $f \in H(\Omega)$ and g is defined in $\Omega \times \Omega$ by

$$g(z, w) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} & \text{if } w \neq z, \\ f'(z) & \text{if } w = z, \end{cases}$$

then g is continuous in $\Omega \times \Omega$.

Proof: The only points $(z, w) \in \Omega \times \Omega$ at which the continuity of g is possibly in doubt have $z = w$.

Auxiliary lemma

- Fix $a \in \Omega$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $r > 0$ such that $D(a; r) \subseteq \Omega$ and

$$|f'(\zeta) - f'(a)| < \varepsilon$$

for all $\zeta \in D(a; r)$.

- If z and w are in $D(a; r)$ and if

$$\zeta(t) = (1 - t)z + tw,$$

then $\zeta(t) \in D(a; r)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and

$$g(z, w) - g(a, a) = \int_0^1 [f'(\zeta(t)) - f'(a)] dt.$$

- The absolute value of the integrand is $< \varepsilon$, for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus $|g(z, w) - g(a, a)| < \varepsilon$. This proves that g is continuous at (a, a) . \square

Holomorphic functions with nonvanishing derivatives

Theorem

Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be open. Suppose that $\varphi \in H(\Omega)$, and $\varphi'(z_0) \neq 0$ for some $z_0 \in \Omega$. Then Ω contains a neighborhood V of z_0 such that

- (a) φ is one-to-one in V ;
- (b) $W = \varphi(V)$ is an open set;
- (c) if $\psi : W \rightarrow V$ is defined by $\psi(\varphi(z)) = z$, then $\psi \in H(W)$.

Thus $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$ has a holomorphic inverse.

Proof: By the previous lemma applied to φ in place of f , we conclude that Ω contains a neighborhood V of z_0 such that

$$|\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |\varphi'(z_0)| |z_1 - z_2| \quad (1)$$

if $z_1 \in V$ and $z_2 \in V$. Thus (a) holds, and $\varphi'(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in V$.

Holomorphic function with nonvanishing derivatives

- To prove (b), pick $a \in V$ and choose $r > 0$ so that $\overline{D}(a, r) \subseteq V$.
- By inequality (1) there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi(a + re^{i\theta}) - \varphi(a)| > 2c \quad \text{for } \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]. \quad (2)$$

- If $\lambda \in D(\varphi(a); c)$, then $|\lambda - \varphi(a)| < c$, hence (2) implies

$$\min_{\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]} |\lambda - \varphi(a + re^{i\theta})| > c > |\lambda - \varphi(a)|. \quad (3)$$

- By the previous corollary $\lambda - \varphi$ must therefore have a zero in $D(a; r)$.
- Thus $\lambda = \varphi(z)$ for some $z \in D(a; r) \subseteq V$.
- This proves that $D(\varphi(a); c) \subseteq \varphi(V)$.
- Hence $\varphi(V)$ is open, since a was an arbitrary point of V .

Holomorphic function with nonvanishing derivatives

- To prove (c), fix $w_1 \in W$.
- Then $\varphi(z_1) = w_1$ for a unique $z_1 \in V$ by property (b).
- If $w \in W$ and $\psi(w) = z \in V$, we have

$$\frac{\psi(w) - \psi(w_1)}{w - w_1} = \frac{z - z_1}{\varphi(z) - \varphi(z_1)}.$$

- By inequality (1) we deduce that $z \rightarrow z_1$ when $w \rightarrow w_1$.
- Moreover, $\phi'(z) \neq 0$ if $z \in V$, also by (1).
- Hence

$$\psi'(w_1) = \lim_{w \rightarrow w_1} \frac{\psi(w) - \psi(w_1)}{w - w_1} = \lim_{z \rightarrow z_1} \frac{z - z_1}{\varphi(z) - \varphi(z_1)} = 1/\varphi'(z_1).$$

- Thus $\psi \in H(W)$ as desired. □

Open mapping theorem

Definition

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the m^{th} -power function $z \mapsto z^m$ by π_m .

- Each $w \neq 0$ is $\pi_m(z)$ for precisely m distinct values of z : If $w = re^{i\theta}$ for some $r > 0$, then

$$\pi_m(z) = w \iff z = r^{1/m} e^{i(\theta+2k\pi)/m} \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, m.$$

- Note also that each π_m is an open mapping: If V is open and does not contain 0, then $\pi_m(V)$ is open by the previous theorem. On the other hand, $\pi_m(D(0, r)) = D(0, r^m)$.
- Compositions of open mappings are clearly open. In particular, $\pi_m \circ \varphi$ is open, by the previous theorem, if φ' has no zero.

Open mapping theorem

Theorem

Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is open, $f \in H(\Omega)$ and f is not constant, $z_0 \in \Omega$, and $w_0 = f(z_0)$. Let m be the order of the zero which the function $f - w_0$ has at z_0 . Then there exists a neighborhood $V \subseteq \Omega$ of z_0 , and there exists $\varphi \in H(V)$, such that

- (a) $f(z) = w_0 + [\varphi(z)]^m$ for all $z \in V$.
- (b) Moreover, φ' has no zero in V and φ is an invertible mapping of V onto a disc $D(0; r)$.

Remark

Thus $f - w_0 = \pi_m \circ \varphi$ in V . It follows that f is an exactly m -to-1 mapping of $V \setminus \{z_0\}$ onto $D'(w_0; r^m)$, and that each $w_0 \in f(\Omega)$ is an interior point of $f(\Omega)$. Hence $f(\Omega)$ is open.

Open mapping theorem

Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that Ω is a convex neighborhood of z_0 which is so small that $f(z) \neq w_0$ if $z \in \Omega \setminus \{z_0\}$.

- Then

$$f(z) - w_0 = (z - z_0)^m g(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \Omega$$

for some $g \in H(\Omega)$ which has no zero in Ω . Hence $g'/g \in H(\Omega)$.

- By the Cauchy theorem $g'/g = h'$ for some $h \in H(\Omega)$.
- The derivative of $g \cdot \exp(-h)$ is 0 in Ω .
- If h is modified by the addition of a suitable constant, it follows that $g = \exp(h)$. Define

$$\varphi(z) = (z - z_0) \exp \frac{h(z)}{m} \quad \text{for } z \in \Omega.$$

- Then (a) holds, for all $z \in \Omega$.
- Also, $\varphi(z_0) = 0$ and $\varphi'(z_0) \neq 0$. The existence of an open set V that satisfies (b) follows now from the previous theorem. □

Inverse mapping theorem

Theorem

Suppose that $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is open, $f \in H(\Omega)$, and f is one-to-one in Ω . Then $f'(z) \neq 0$ for every $z \in \Omega$, and the inverse of f is holomorphic.

Proof: If $f'(z_0)$ were 0 for some $z_0 \in \Omega$, the hypotheses of the previous theorem would hold with some $m > 1$, so that f would be m -to-1 in some deleted neighborhood of z_0 , which is impossible, since f is one-to-one. Thus $f'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Omega$. Now apply part (c) of the last but one theorem. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark

We observe that the converse of the inverse mapping theorem is false: If $f(z) = e^z$, then $f'(z) \neq 0$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, but f is not one-to-one in the whole complex plane.

Complex logarithms and arguments

- The exponential function $S_\alpha \ni z \mapsto e^z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ when restricted to the strip $S_\alpha = \{x + iy : \alpha \leq y < \alpha + 2\pi\}$ is a one-to-one analytic map of this strip onto $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ the nonzero complex numbers.

Definition

- We take \log_α to be the **inverse** of the exponential function restricted to the strip $S_\alpha = \{x + iy : \alpha \leq y < \alpha + 2\pi\}$.
- We define \arg_α to be the **imaginary part** of \log_α .
- Consequently, $\log_\alpha(\exp z) = z$ for each $z \in S_\alpha$, and $\exp(\log_\alpha z) = z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$.

Definition

- The **principal branches** of the logarithm and argument functions, to be denoted by Log and Arg , are obtained by taking $\alpha = -\pi$.
- Thus, $\text{Log} = \log_{-\pi}$ and $\text{Arg} = \arg_{-\pi}$.

Complex logarithms and arguments

Theorem

- (a) *If $z \neq 0$, then $\log_\alpha(z) = \log |z| + i \arg_\alpha(z)$, and $\arg_\alpha(z)$ is the unique number in $[\alpha, \alpha + 2\pi)$ such that*

$$z/|z| = e^{i \arg_\alpha(z)}.$$

In other words, the unique argument of z in $[\alpha, \alpha + 2\pi)$.

- (b) *Let $R_\alpha = \{re^{i\alpha} : r \geq 0\}$. The functions \log_α and \arg_α are continuous at each point of the "slit" complex plane $\mathbb{C} \setminus R_\alpha$, and discontinuous at each point of R_α .*
- (c) *The function \log_α is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus R_\alpha$, and its derivative is given by $\log'_\alpha(z) = 1/z$.*

Complex logarithms and arguments

Proof:

(a) If $w = \log_{\alpha}(z)$ with $z \neq 0$, then $e^w = z$, hence

$$|z| = e^{\operatorname{Re} w}, \quad \text{and} \quad z/|z| = e^{i \operatorname{Im} w}.$$

Thus $\operatorname{Re} w = \log |z|$, and $\operatorname{Im} w$ is an argument of $z/|z|$. Since $\operatorname{Im} w$ is restricted to $[\alpha, \alpha + 2\pi)$ by definition of \log_{α} , it follows that $\operatorname{Im} w$ is the unique argument for z that lies in the interval $[\alpha, \alpha + 2\pi)$.

(b) By (a), it suffices to consider \arg_{α} . If $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus R_{\alpha}$ and $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence converging to z_0 , then $\arg_{\alpha}(z_n)$ must converge to $\arg_{\alpha}(z_0)$. On the other hand, if $z_0 \in R_{\alpha} \setminus \{0\}$, there is a sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to z_0 so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \arg_{\alpha}(z_n) = \alpha + 2\pi \neq \arg_{\alpha}(z_0) = \alpha.$$

Continuous logarithms and arguments

Recall from Lecture 2 the following theorem:

Theorem

Let g be analytic on the open set Ω_1 , and let f be a continuous complex-valued function on the open set Ω . Assume that

- (i) $f(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega_1$,
- (ii) g' is never 0 ,
- (iii) $g(f(z)) = z$ for all $z \in \Omega$ (thus f is one-to-one).

Then f is analytic on Ω and $f' = 1/(g' \circ f)$.

- (c) By this theorem with $g = \exp$, $\Omega_1 = \mathbb{C}$, $f = \log_\alpha$, and $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus R_\alpha$ and the fact that \exp is its own derivative we obtain that

$$(\log_\alpha z)' = \frac{1}{z}.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.



Continuous logarithms and arguments

Definition

Let S be a subset of \mathbb{C} (or more generally any metric space), and let $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ be continuous.

- A function $g : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous logarithm of f if g is continuous on S and $f(s) = e^{g(s)}$ for all $s \in S$.
- A function $\theta : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous argument of f if θ is continuous on S and $f(s) = |f(s)|e^{i\theta(s)}$ for all $s \in S$.

Examples

- (a) If $S = [0, 2\pi]$ and $f(s) = e^{is}$, then f has a continuous argument on S , namely $\theta(s) = s + 2k\pi$ for any fixed integer k .
- (b) If f is a continuous mapping of S into $\mathbb{C} \setminus R_\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then f has a continuous argument, namely $\theta(s) = \arg_\alpha(f(s))$.
- (c) If $S = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ and $f(z) = z$, then f does not have a continuous argument on S .

Continuous logarithms and arguments

Theorem

Let $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be continuous.

- (a) If g is a continuous logarithm of f , then $\operatorname{Im} g$ is a continuous argument of f .
- (b) If θ is a continuous argument of f , then $\log |f| + i\theta$ is a continuous logarithm of f . Thus f has a continuous logarithm iff f has a continuous argument.
- (c) Assume that S is connected, and f has continuous logarithms g_1 and g_2 , and continuous arguments θ_1 and θ_2 . Then there are integers k and l such that $g_1(s) - g_2(s) = 2\pi i k$ and $\theta_1(s) - \theta_2(s) = 2\pi l$ for all $s \in S$. Thus $g_1 - g_2$ and $\theta_1 - \theta_2$ are constant on S .
- (d) If S is connected and $s, t \in S$, then

$$g(s) - g(t) = \log |f(s)| - \log |f(t)| + i(\theta(s) - \theta(t))$$

for all continuous logarithms g and all continuous arguments θ of f .

Continuous logarithms and arguments

Proof:

- (a) If $f(s) = e^{g(s)}$, then $|f(s)| = e^{\operatorname{Re} g(s)}$, hence $f(s)/|f(s)| = e^{i \operatorname{Im} g(s)}$ as required.
- (b) If $f(s) = |f(s)|e^{i\theta(s)}$, then $f(s) = e^{\log |f(s)| + i\theta(s)}$, so $\log |f| + i\theta$ is a continuous logarithm of f .
- (c) We have $f(s) = e^{g_1(s)} = e^{g_2(s)}$, hence $e^{g_1(s) - g_2(s)} = 1$, for all $s \in S$. Hence $g_1(s) - g_2(s) = 2\pi i k(s)$ for some integer-valued function $S \ni s \mapsto k(s) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since g_1 and g_2 are continuous on S , so is k . But S is connected, so k is a constant function. A similar proof applies to any pair of continuous arguments of f .
- (d) If θ is a continuous argument of f , then $\log |f| + i\theta$ is a continuous logarithm of f by part (b). Thus if g is any continuous logarithm of f , then $g = \log |f| + i\theta + 2\pi i k$ by (c).

The result follows. □

Continuous logarithms and arguments

Theorem

Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ be a continuous curve and $0 \notin \gamma^$. Then γ has a continuous argument, and consequently a continuous logarithm.*

Proof: Let $\varepsilon = \inf\{|\gamma(t)| : t \in [a, b]\}$ be the distance from 0 to γ^* .

- Then $\varepsilon > 0$ because $0 \notin \gamma^*$ and γ^* is a closed set.
- By the uniform continuity of γ on $[a, b]$, there is a partition $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$ of $[a, b]$ such that if $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j]$, then $\gamma(t) \in D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon)$.
- Since $\gamma : [t_{j-1}, t_j] \rightarrow D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon)$ is a continuous function and $D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus R_{\alpha_j}$ for some $\alpha_j \in [0, 2\pi]$, then each $\gamma|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]}$ has a continuous argument $\theta_j(t) = \arg_{\alpha_j}(\gamma|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]}(t))$.
- Since $\theta_j(t_j)$ and $\theta_{j+1}(t_j)$ differ by an integer multiple of 2π , we may (if necessary) redefine θ_{j+1} on $[t_j, t_{j+1}]$ so that the relation $\theta_j \cup \theta_{j+1}$ is a continuous argument of γ on $[t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}]$. Proceeding in this way, we obtain a continuous argument of γ on the entire interval $[a, b]$. \square

Continuous logarithms and arguments

Theorem

Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a closed curve. Fix $z_0 \notin \gamma^*$, and let θ be a continuous argument of $\gamma - z_0$, (θ exists by the previous theorem). Then $\theta(b) - \theta(a)$ is an integer multiple of 2π . Furthermore, if θ_1 is another continuous argument of $\gamma - z_0$, then $\theta_1(b) - \theta_1(a) = \theta(b) - \theta(a)$.

Proof: We know that $(\gamma(t) - z_0) / |\gamma(t) - z_0| = e^{i\theta(t)}$, for $t \in [a, b]$.

- Since γ is a closed curve, $\gamma(a) = \gamma(b)$, hence

$$1 = \frac{\gamma(b) - z_0}{|\gamma(b) - z_0|} \cdot \frac{|\gamma(a) - z_0|}{\gamma(a) - z_0} = e^{i(\theta(b) - \theta(a))}.$$

- Consequently, $\theta(b) - \theta(a)$ is an integer multiple of 2π . If θ_1 is another continuous argument of $\gamma - z_0$, then we have that $\theta_1 - \theta = 2\pi l$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\theta_1(b) = \theta(b) + 2\pi l$ and $\theta_1(a) = \theta(a) + 2\pi l$, so $\theta_1(b) - \theta_1(a) = \theta(b) - \theta(a)$. □

Winding number

Definition

Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a closed curve. If $z_0 \notin \gamma^*$, let θ_{z_0} be a continuous argument of $\gamma - z_0$. The **winding number** of z_0 with respect to γ , is defined by

$$W(\gamma, z_0) = \frac{\theta_{z_0}(b) - \theta_{z_0}(a)}{2\pi}.$$

Remarks

- By the previous theorem, $W(\gamma, z_0)$ is well-defined, that is, $W(\gamma, z_0)$ does not depend on the particular continuous argument chosen.
- Intuitively, $W(\gamma, z_0)$ is the net number of revolutions of $\gamma(t)$ about the point z_0 when $t \in [a, b]$.
- Note that by the above definition, for any complex number w we have $W(\gamma, z_0) = W(\gamma + w, z_0 + w)$.

Winding number

Theorem

Let $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a closed path, and z_0 a point not belonging to γ^* . Then

$$W(\gamma, z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz = \text{Ind}_{\gamma}(z_0).$$

In other words, the winding number is the index function. More generally, if f is analytic on an open set Ω containing γ^* , and $z_0 \notin (f \circ \gamma)^*$, then

$$W(f \circ \gamma, z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z) - z_0} dz.$$

Winding number

Proof: Let ε be the distance from z_0 to γ^* .

- Since γ is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$, then there is a partition $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$ so that

$$\gamma(t) \in D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [t_{j-1}, t_j].$$

- By definition of ε we have $z_0 \notin D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon)$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- Consequently, the holomorphic function $h(z) = z - z_0$, when restricted to $D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon)$ is nonvanishing.
- Therefore, $\frac{h'(z)}{h(z)} = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)}$ is holomorphic in $D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon)$.
- By the Cauchy theorem h'/h has a primitive g_j in $D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon)$.
- Therefore $g'_j(z) = 1/(z - z_0)$ for all $z \in D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon)$.
- The path γ restricted to $[t_{j-1}, t_j]$ lies in the disk $D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon)$, hence

$$\int_{\gamma|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]}} \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz = g_j(\gamma(t_j)) - g_j(\gamma(t_{j-1})).$$

Winding number

- Thus

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz = \sum_{j=1}^n [g_j(\gamma(t_j)) - g_j(\gamma(t_{j-1}))].$$

- Observe that the function $\exp(-g_j)h$ has a vanishing derivative on $D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon)$. If g_j is modified by the addition of a suitable constant, it follows that $h = \exp(g_j)$. If $\theta_j = \operatorname{Im} g_j$, then θ_j is a continuous argument of $h(z) = z - z_0$ on $D(\gamma(t_j), \varepsilon)$.
- Since $g_j(z) = \log |z| + i\theta_j(z)$, then

$$\int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz = i \sum_{j=1}^n [\theta_j(\gamma(t_j)) - \theta_j(\gamma(t_{j-1}))].$$

- If θ is any continuous argument of $\gamma - z_0$, then $\theta|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]}$ is a continuous argument of $(\gamma - z_0)|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]}$. But so is $\theta_j \circ \gamma|_{[t_{j-1}, t_j]}$, hence

$$\theta_j(\gamma(t_j)) - \theta_j(\gamma(t_{j-1})) = \theta(t_j) - \theta(t_{j-1}),$$

since two continuous arguments differs by a multiple of 2π .

Winding number

- Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz &= i \sum_{j=1}^n [\theta(t_j) - \theta(t_{j-1})] \\ &= i(\theta(b) - \theta(a)) \\ &= 2\pi i W(\gamma, z_0)\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of the first part of the theorem.

- Applying this result to the path $f \circ \gamma$, we get the second statement. Specifically, if $z_0 \notin (f \circ \gamma)^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned}W(f \circ \gamma, z_0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{f \circ \gamma} \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z) - z_0} dz.\end{aligned}$$

□